arXiv:1704.07870v1 [math.AC] 25 Apr 2017

CONFIGURATIONS OF LINEAR SPACES OF CODIMENSION TWO AND THE CONTAINMENT PROBLEM

BEN DRABKIN

1. Introduction

Let $I \subset k[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ be a homogeneous ideal. For $r \geq 0$, the r-th symbolic power of I is defined to be

$$I^{(r)} = \bigcap_{p \in \text{Ass}(R/I)} (I^r R_p \cap R).$$

Symbolic powers of ideals are interesting for a number of reasons, not least of which is that, for a radical ideal I,the r-th symbolic power $I^{(r)}$ is the ideal of all polynomials vanishing to order at least r on $V(I)$ (by the Zariski-Nagata theorem).

Containment relationships between symbolic and ordinary powers are a source of great interest. As an immediate consequence of the definition, $I^r \subseteq I^{(r)}$ for all r. However, the other type of containment, namely that of a symbolic power in an ordinary power is much harder to pin down. It has been proved by Ein-Lazarsfeld-Smith [ELS] and Hochster-Huneke [HH] that $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$ for all $m \geq Nr$, but as of yet there are no examples in which this bound is sharp.

It was conjectured by Harbourne in [BDHKKSS, Conjecture 8..4.3] (and later in [HaHu, Conjecture 4.1.1] in the case $e = N - 1$) that $I^{(m)} \subseteq I^r$ for all $m \geq er - (e - 1)$, where e is the codimension of $V(I)$. While this conjecture holds in a number of important cases, some counterexamples have also been found. Notably, the main counterexamples come from singular points of hyperplane arrangements [BNAL]. One particular family is known in the literature under the name of Fermat configurations of points cf. $\mathbb{P}^2$ [BNAL, MS]. These have been recently generalized to Fermat-like configurations of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ in [MS]. The Ceva(n) arrangement of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^N$ is defined by the linear factors of

$$F_{N,n} = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i^n - x_j^n),$$

where $n \geq 3$ is an integer.

In [DST] Dumnicki, Szemberg, and Tutaj-Gasinska showed that, for the ideal $I_{2,3}$ corresponding to all triple intersection points of the lines defined by linear factors of $F_{2,3}$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$, $F_{2,3} \notin I_{2,3}^2$, but $F_{2,3} \in I_{2,3}^{(3)}$. This was the first counterexample to the above mentioned conjecture. Later, in [MS] Malara and Szpond generalized this construction to $\mathbb{P}^3$, by showing that for the ideal $I_{3,n}$, corresponding to all triple intersection lines of the planes defined by the linear factors of $F_{3,n}$, $F_{3,n} \notin I_{3,n}^2$, but $F_{3,n} \in I_{3,n}^{(3)}$. In the following, the construction of counterexamples to $I^{(3)} \subseteq I_2$ from Fermat arrangements is generalized to $\mathbb{P}^N$ for all $N \geq 2$.

2. Main result

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let k be a field which contains a primitive n-th root of unity, ε. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S_N := k[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_N]$, and define

$$F_{N,n} := \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i^n - x_j^n).$$

Let

$$C_{N,n} := \bigcap_{0 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i, x_j)$$

$$J_{N,n} := \bigcap_{\substack{0 \leq i < j < l \leq N \\ 0 \leq a,b < n}} (x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j, x_i - \varepsilon^b x_l),$$

and let

$$I_{N,n} := J_{N,n} \cap C_{N,n}.$$

We show in Lemma 2.2 that $I_{N,n}$ is the ideal of the $N-2$ dimensional flats arising from triple intersection of hyperplanes corresponding to linear factors of $F_{N,n}$.

Theorem 2.1. *For all $N \geq 2$, $I_{N,n}^{(3)} \not\subseteq I_{N,n}^2$.*

Before we can prove this, we must introduce a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *The ideal $I_{N,n}$ defined above defines the union of all the $N-2$ dimensional linear spaces that are intersections of at least three hyperplanes corresponding to linear factors of $F_{N,n}$.*

Proof. Let $0 \leq a, b < n$, and let $0 \leq i < j \leq l \leq N$. Then

$$(x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j, x_i - \varepsilon^b x_l) = (x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j, x_i - \varepsilon^b x_l, x_j - \varepsilon^{b-a} x_l)$$

defines the intersection of the three hyperplanes corresponding to $(x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j)$, $(x_i - \varepsilon^b x_l)$, and $(x_j - \varepsilon^{b-a} x_l)$. Furthermore

$$(x_i, x_j) = (x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j : a = 0, 1, \ldots, n),$$

so (x_i, x_j) defines the intersection of n hyperplanes corresponding to linear factors of $x_i^n - x_j^n$.

It remains to be seen that all $N-2$ dimensional linear spaces that arise as intersections of at least three hyperplanes corresponding to linear factors of $F_{N,n}$ are accounted for above. Let L be the ideal defining such a linear space. then L contains three linearly dependent binomials of the form $x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j, x_k - \varepsilon^b x_l, x_u - \varepsilon^c x_v$. Without loss of generality (after multiplication by appropriate powers of ε) this yields $i = k$ and $\{j, l\} = \{u, v\}$. If $j \neq l$ then $L = (x_i - \varepsilon^a x_j, x_i - \varepsilon^b x_l)$ is one of the primes appearing in the decomposition of $J_{N,n}$ and if $j = l$ then $L = (x_i, x_j)$ is one of the primes appearing in the decomposition of $C_{N,n}$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let R and S be finitely generated graded-local Noetherian rings. Let m be the homogeneous maximal ideal of R. Let $I \subset R$ be a homogeneous ideal, and suppose $F \notin I^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $J \subset S$ be an ideal, and let $\pi : S \to R$ be a (not necessarily homogeneous) ring homomorphism such that $\pi(J) \subseteq I$. If $G \in R$ is such that $\pi(G) = Fg$, where $g \notin m$, then $G \notin J^r$.*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that such a G exists and $G \in J^r$. Then

$$Fg = \pi(G) \in \pi(J^r) \subseteq (\pi(J))^r \subseteq I^r.$$

Thus $Fg \in I^r$. Let $I^r = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_t$ be a primary decomposition. Then, $Fg \in Q_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$. Suppose that, for some i, $F \notin Q_i$. Then $g^s \in Q_i$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. However, I^r is a homogeneous ideal, so $Q_i \subseteq m$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. But since $g \notin m$, we know that $g^s \notin m$, a contradiction. Thus $F \in Q_i$ for all i, which yields $F \in I^r$, a contradiction. □

This lemma allows us to construct an inductive argument for the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Lemma 2.2 $F_{N,n}$ must vanish to order 3 or greater on each of the linear spaces whose union is $V(I_{N,n})$, thus $F_{N,n} \in I^{(3)}_{N,n}$. To finish the proof, it suffices to show that for all $N \geq 2$, $F_{N,n} \notin I^2_{N,n}$.

We argue by induction on N. For $N = 2$, this is proved in the paper of Dumnicki, Szemberg, and Tutaj-Gasinska [DST].

For $N > 3$, assume that $F_{N-1,n} \notin I^2_{N-1,n}$ and consider the evaluation homomorphism $\pi : S_N \to S_{N-1}$ defined by $\pi(x_N) = 1$ and $\pi(x_i) = x_i$ for $i \leq N-1$. Then:

$$\pi(I_{N,n}) \subseteq C_{N-1,n} \cap \left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i < N} (x_i, 1) \right) \cap J_{N-1,n} \cap \left(\bigcap_{\substack{0 \leq i < j < N \\ 0 \leq a,b < n}} (x_i - \varepsilon^a, x_i - \varepsilon^b) \right) \subseteq I_{N-1,n}.$$

We note that $\pi(F_{N,n}) = F_{N-1,n} g$ where $g = \prod_{0 \leq i < N} (x_i^n - 1)$. Since $g \notin (x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1})$, we conclude by Lemma 2.3 that $F_{N,n} \notin I^2_{N,n}$. □

3. Concluding Remarks

Another proof for the noncontainment noncontainment $I^{(3)}_{N,n} \subseteq I^2_{N,n}$ has been found by Grzegorz Malara and Justinya Szpond and can bee seen in their upcoming paper [MS2].

References

[BNAL] Th. Bauer, S. Di Rocco, B. Harbourne, J. Huizenga, A. Lundman, P. Pokora, T. Szemberg. *Bounded Negativity and Arrangements of Lines*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 19, 9456–9471

[BDHKKSS] Th. Bauer, S. Di Rocco, B. Harbourne, M. Kapustka, A.L. Knutsen, W. Syzdek, T. Szemberg: A primer on Seshadri constants. pp. 33–70, in: Interactions of Classical and Numerical Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings of a conference in honor of A. J. Sommese, held at Notre Dame, May 22–24 2008. Contemporary Mathematics vol. 496, 2009, eds. D. J. Bates, G-M. Besana, S. Di Rocco, and C. W. Wampler, 362 pp.

[DST] M. Dumnicki, T. Szemberg and H. Tutaj-Gasińska. *A counter-example to a question by Huneke and Harbourne*, J. Algebra 393 (2013), 24–29.

[ELS] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld and K. Smith. *Uniform Behavior of Symbolic Powers of Ideals*, Invent. Math., 144 (2001), 241–252.

[HaHu] B. Harbourne, H. Huneke. *Are symbolic powers highly evolved?*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 28, No.3 (Special Issue-2013) 311–330.

[HH] M. Hochster and C. Huneke. *Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals.* Invent. Math. **147** (2002), no. 2, 349–369.

[MS] G. Malara, J. Szpond. *Fermat-type configurations of lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and the containment problem*, preprint, 2017, arXiv 1702.02160.

[MS2] B. Malara, J. Spond. *On codimension two flats in Fermat-type arrangements*, preprint, 2017.